### Key Trends Over Time

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category</th>
<th>Base Year (2010)</th>
<th>Most Recent Year</th>
<th>Rate Change</th>
<th>MI Rate</th>
<th>Trend</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Children in poverty, ages 0–17</td>
<td>99,843</td>
<td>76,358</td>
<td>-11.8%</td>
<td>19.3%</td>
<td>green</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young adults in poverty, ages 18–24</td>
<td>29,445</td>
<td>27,745</td>
<td>3.8%</td>
<td>25.9%</td>
<td>red</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Households in Poverty &amp; ALICE</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>191,443</td>
<td>74.1%</td>
<td>*</td>
<td>42.3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Additional Data

- Children receiving free and reduced price lunch, K-12: 75,783 (88.0%)
- Subsidized child care, ages 0–12: N/A (2.3%)
- Cash assistance (FIP), ages 0–18: 10,802 (6.0%)
- Food assistance (FAP), ages 0–18: 27,800 (45.6%)
- Women, Infants and Children (WIC), ages 0–4: N/A (45.4%)
- Supplemental Security Income, ages 0–18*: 6,737 (38.4%)
- Any amount of child support owed: N/A (76.8%)

### Economic Security

- Median household income: $31,283 (N/A)
- Average cost of full-time child care/month: N/A (N/A $594)
- Percent of full-time minimum wage: N/A (36.4%)
- Families receiving the Earned Income Tax Credit (EITC): 108,230 (34.8%)
- Families with high-cost housing: 109,134 (41.9%)

The city of Detroit is home to thousands of kids who are curious, vibrant and ready to take on the world. We’ve made progress in the last decade. Let’s continue to build a stronger Michigan and keep kids moving forward.
### HEALTH & SAFETY

#### KEY TRENDS OVER TIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BASE YEAR (2010)</th>
<th>MOST RECENT YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less than adequate prenatal care</td>
<td>4,862</td>
<td>42.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infant mortality*</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>14.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child deaths, ages 1–14*</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>29.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Teen deaths, ages 15–19*</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>119.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Young adult deaths, ages 18–24*</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>184.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### ADDITIONAL DATA

- Children with health insurance, ages 0–18: 170,446, 96.9% ↑ to 97.1%
- Insured by Medicaid, ages 0–18: 130,258, 74.3% ↑ to 42.6%
- Low-birthweight babies: 1,369, 14.4% ↑ to 8.6%
- Fully immunized toddlers, ages 19–35 months: 7,404, 59.6% ↑ to 74.1%
- Children tested for lead, ages 1–2: 9,154, 50.2% ↑ to 39.4%
- Children hospitalized for asthma, ages 0–14*: 566, 35.6% ↓ to 10.0
- Children with food insecurity, ages 0–17: N/A N/A, 15.9%
- Mental health providers (ratio of population to provider): N/A N/A, 404:1

### FAMILY & COMMUNITY

#### KEY TRENDS OVER TIME

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>BASE YEAR (2010)</th>
<th>MOST RECENT YEAR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>NUMBER</td>
<td>RATE</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Births to teens, ages 15–19*</td>
<td>2,286</td>
<td>69.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Child abuse/neglect, ages 0–17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
  - Children in investigated families* | 24,664 | 109.1 | 30,911 | 180.7 | 65.6%       | 120.4   |       |
  - Confirmed victims* | 3,569  | 15.8  | 4,752  | 27.8  | 76.2%       | 18.5    |       |
  - Children in out-of-home care* | 2,028  | 9.0   | 1,546  | 9.0   | 0.8%        | 5.3     |       |

#### ADDITIONAL DATA

- Living in high poverty areas, ages 0–17: 125,501, 76.8% ↑ to 14.2%
- Household structure, ages 0–17:
  - Family with married parents: 50,252, 30.4% ↑ to 66.4%
  - Family with single parent: 115,231, 69.6% ↑ to 33.6%
- Poverty by household structure, ages 0–17:
  - Family with married parents: 17,933, 35.7% ↑ to 9.5%
  - Family with single parent: 68,212, 59.2% ↑ to 42.1%
- English not spoken at home, ages 5–17: 19,086, 15.9% ↑ to 10.5%
- Children with internet at home, ages 0–17: 110,039, 65.0% ↑ to 87.7%

*Rate per 1000  **Rate per 10,000  ***Rate per 100,000  ↑Data suppressed

---

An equitable, two-generational approach will help Michigan’s kids keep moving forward.

A child’s well-being should not be determined by their race, place or income, but data shows that this is the reality. That’s why our racial equity lens considers how policy decisions help or hinder outcomes. We also consider access to opportunity for the adults in kids’ lives when working to improve well-being.

---

For all data definitions and sources, see the [Definitions, Notes and Sources page](#).

More data (including nearly 100 indicators, trends, graphs, rates and more) are on the [Kids Count Online Data Center](#).

Celebrate the wins and identify policy recommendations to improve children’s well-being [here](#).